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MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

In 2007 Chicora was retained by the GSA to examine the St. Elizabeths West Campus Cemetery, conduct some brief background research, document the stones present, provide additional boundary research, and provide prioritized recommendations for future action. In 2016, the GSA again requested we visit the cemetery and determine if needs and recommendations had changed since 2007.

Our stone-by-stone review found that 19 of the 219 monuments exhibit noticeable deterioration since 2007. This represents a deterioration of 8.7% of the stones over 9 years, or approximately 1% per year. Damage includes breakage, stones forced out of the ground, and displacement.

Most of this damage can be associated with maintenance practices including hazardous trees and the use of mowers too large for the cemetery.

Based on our review of current conditions, we found it necessary to add two additional recommendations. One is to eliminate the use of large deck mowers in the cemetery and the other is to expedite the removal of hazardous trees and institute the tree replacement program as quickly as possible.

There is, in addition, an increase in the weathering to the stones that seems in excess of what would be expected over nine years. There is also a noticeable increase in biologicals on the stones. These issues may be related increased pollution, reduction in the forest canopy, or other issues.

A review of the 2007 prioritized recommendations found that only two of the 22 recommendations had been achieved (9%), while 11 of the 22 have received no action (50%). Five recommendations have received mixed progress and an additional four can’t be evaluated since we do not have adequate information.

While no additional meaningful historic research has been conducted by the GSA since 2007, this revisit allowed us to return to our 2007 lists and make extensive corrections and updates. Incorrect names or spellings were corrected and death dates were determined for most of those with markers still in the cemetery.

This work allowed us to determine with greater assurance that the stone numbers are not strictly chronological. This suggests that perhaps additional graves were added prior to the assigning of numbers.

Our review also allowed us to significantly update the list of missing stones. In 2007 we thought that there might be about 23. As a result of this more recent work, we can document the presence of 32 markers which were likely in the cemetery, but are no longer present.

If, however, we rely on the missing stone numbers (assuming that all consecutively numbered stones were at one time present), then the cemetery has lost 221 markers over its history. With 224 markers or marker fragments in the cemetery today, this would suggest that over its 150 year history, about half of the markers have gone missing. Of course, it may be that not all of the graves were ever marked.

This review of the cemetery reveals that relatively little progress has been made over the past nine years to ensure the long-term preservation of this burial ground. We understand this is the result of limited funding. It is essential that GSA refocus its attention on its preservation obligations to ensure that the cemetery is not lost.
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Introduction

St. Elizabeths, originally a mental health facility for the U.S. military and civilians in the District of Columbia, was long ago divided into two parts by what is today called Martin Luther King, Jr. Avenue, creating the West Campus – west of this road – and the East Campus – east of this road. The General Services Administration (GSA) is currently steward of the West Campus; the East Campus is operated by the District of Columbia.

The cemetery situated on the West Campus is located at the rear boundary of the property, east of South Capitol Street, west-northwest of the original St. Elizabeths buildings, and north of the recently constructed Douglas A. Munro Coast Guard Headquarters Building (Figure 1). What was once a quiet, relatively undisturbed area of woods overlooking the U.S. Naval Station along the Anacostia River is today a Level 5 Homeland Security base.

In 2007 Chicora was retained by the GSA to examine the West Campus Cemetery. The cemetery, as part of the campus, is listed on the National Register of Historic Places. The burial grounds include both military dead from the Civil War, as well as what were termed at the time, “friendless” patients, buried by the hospital “without ceremony.”

As a result of that work we found that over the years the West Campus cemetery failed to receive the care and attention that it both deserved and required. As a result of these years of deferred maintenance, a number of issues – many of them critical and costly – were determined to require the immediate attention of GSA.

Figure 1. Aerial view of the St. Elizabeths West Campus Cemetery and associated hardscape found today.
This study examines the current condition of the monuments present in the cemetery and explores the recommendations offered in 2007 to determine progress and the need for any modifications in light of the current situation. Our findings reveal that the cemetery continues to receive only limited maintenance. Additional damage is documented to the stones in the cemetery. The vegetation in the cemetery is in poor condition, as is the surrounding fence. Recommendations to ensure public access and to buffer the cemetery from intrusive elements have not been implemented. The cemetery, and its status as a National Historic Landmark site, has not benefited from activities at St. Elizabeths.

**Brief Historical Review**

The first burial in 1856 was of Mrs. Sarah Fontain, a patient about whom almost nothing is known, and representative of these “friendless” souls. As a pauper she was transferred from the Maryland Hospital to the Mount Hope Institution, and finally to St. Elizabeths, known at the time as the Government Hospital for the Insane. Her burial was without ceremony; it is unlikely a coffin was used; and her grave was marked only by a numbered headboard. These practices were not the result of an overwhelming number of dead who had to be quickly interred. Rather, we speculated it was the result of an “astonishing lack of human dignity and respect for the patients under the institution’s care” (Trinkley and Hacker 2007:20).

While the numbers used on the early headboards were to be recorded in the “case files,” it appears that few of these files remain (Trinkley and Hacker:23), making it virtually impossible to even identify a list of those buried.

The 0.76-acre cemetery was filled by 1873, necessitating a “new cemetery” at the edge of the eastern campus (Trinkley, Hacker and Southerland 2009:23-24) and Sluby (2004:4-12) suggests that by then there were about 600 graves in the western cemetery. Assuming 48 square feet, this would require nearly 0.7 acre – very close to the 0.76-acre cemetery size.

Research also revealed that the hospital only occasionally devoted time to marking graves, providing considerable opportunity for mistakes, lost graves, and misidentified graves. It is essential that we emphasize that no historic map of grave locations or burial log has been identified for the West Campus Cemetery.

It must be remembered that the stones in the cemetery were not available prior to 1873 and it was only after this date that St. Elizabeths administrators began requesting stones. Our previous research found that it was impossible to inter graves in the order represented by the numbering, so clearly the numbering was assigned after the burials, perhaps as stones were being ordered, and does not necessarily reflect their order or positioning. Yet today, the graves are in very rough numerical order. This may mean that (1) the stones were placed without regard to the body; (2) that at some later time the stones were arranged to represent an orderly appearance, and/or (3) with so many stones broken just below grade their current locations do not reflect their historic location. **In any case, we do not believe that the stones accurately reflect the individual buried in a particular location.**

This is in some sense alluded to by the Hospital’s February 2, 1950 letter to Mr. Charles H. Appich in response to his inquiry concerning the presence of military burials on the grounds. The letter states,

In our older cemetery, maintained from about 1855 to 1880, there are approximately 600 graves. Our records are incomplete, however, and definitive information as to military burials is unfortunately lacking. Two hundred and fifteen headstones in the cemetery are still legible and we believe that they mark the graves of military personnel from civil [sic] War days and perhaps some prior to that period (National Archives, RG 418).
The number of stones has fluctuated over the course of recent history. There were 215 (legible) stones in 1950. In 1982 there were 225 stones. By 1992 there were 209 (Sluby 2004:4-8). In 2007 we identified 219 stones and fragments (Trinkley and Hacker 2007:528-537).

The Markers

All of the stones in the West Campus Cemetery are marble and are about 10-inches in width. This dates their placement prior to 1903, when the stone size was changed from 10- to 12-inches. It also seems to correlate with the letters identified by Sluby (2004) which date from the 1870s and 1880s.

All of the stones are the conventional “Civil War” type that was first approved for use in 1873. This style has a slightly curved top and a sunken shield in which the inscription appears in bas relief. Typical of the time period, only the name and regimental affiliation is included (there is no date of death on any of the stones). The National Cemetery Administration refers to the number above the name as simply “the number of the grave.” These early markers were intended to be set with 12 inches above grade.

These stones, however, were furnished only to Union veterans and it wasn’t until 1906 that Congress approved a subcategory for Confederate dead (P.L. 38, 59th Cong., Chap. 631), having a pointed top, with the shield omitted (the Confederate Cross of Honor was not approved until 1930). This likely dates the iron markers identified in photographs of the St. Elizabeths Cemetery and found during the 2007 research. They were almost certainly used for Confederate burials prior to 1906. Further research might shed further light on this issue.
Evaluation of 2007 Recommendations

This section will briefly examine the 2007 recommendations to determine changes in conditions today, nine years later. The original recommendations are in italicized bold print. New recommendations are in red print.

Priority 1 Recommendations

1.1 Formalize policy that all decisions at the West Campus cemetery will be made in the context of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Preservation.

While the development of the facility clearly involves compliance with Section 106 and a Programmatic Memorandum of Agreement was issued in 2008, we cannot assess how closely this recommendation has been followed, as will become obvious as additional recommendations are examined.

1.2 Formalize policies that existing stones will be preserved; that only conservators subscribing to the AIC Standards of Practice and Code of Ethics will be retained for work; and that only minimal cleaning will be allowed.

We are again uncertain if these issues have been formalized and have been clearly transmitted to those who have day-to-day responsibility for the cemetery. We are heartened to see that there is no evidence of improper cleaning of the stones.

1.3 Remove existing signage.

This recommendation was made because the signage at the cemetery was inaccurate and failed to provide a meaningful explanation of the burial grounds.

While its removal, and even replacement with an accurate account, would require little funding, this has not been accomplished.

1.4 Ensure that planning of the larger West Campus re-use incorporates protection of the cemetery topography, forest vegetation, and vista. This should include removal of the existing warehouse facility. Any new structures should be evaluated for their visual intrusion.

This recommendation is further bolstered by the 2008 St. Elizabeths West Campus Preservation, Design, & Development Guidelines. This document specifies on page 77, “Contributing visual resources in Parcel 5 include views across wooded areas and slot views across the river and opposite shoreline, and the parcel is visible from points on opposing shorelines. Contributing views associated with the cemetery include internal views among the trees and gravestones, as well as external views from the cemetery across the rivers.”

The cemetery has been protected in the sense that it has not been damaged by primary construction activities. It has not, however, been protected from secondary construction impacts, most especially in regard to its viewshed.

Figures 3 and 4 show several photographs from 2007 and compares them to 2016. While there has been an arguable improvement with the removal of the vacant warehouse, present in 2007, the same cannot be said about the overall viewshed, which is now dominated by the Coast Guard building. The removal of so much vegetation seems to have increased highway noise, which intrudes on the quiet solitude of the cemetery. While plantings have occurred at the periphery of the Coast Guard buildings, these plantings are at least 75 feet from the cemetery, are at a lower
1.5 Ensure that security patrols routinely visit the cemetery, especially on weekends and over holidays.

While it may be argued that behind two perimeter fences within a Level 5 security area provides ample security to the cemetery, this recommendation was not entirely about vandalism and theft. It was also about ensuring appropriate care and maintenance. And this has not been accomplished.

During our assessment we found the remains of a young fawn who had become trapped in a portion of the collapsed cemetery perimeter fence. This animal suffered a painful death, slowly starving to death and being unable to free itself.

We are told that there is a routine patrol, but we are not certain that the patrol thoroughly examines the cemetery and its periphery or that it is looking for issues that we view as important and affecting the preservation of the resource.

It may be helpful to itemized areas of concerns for these inspections, such as the presence of new tree damage, additional damage to the fence, evidence of erosion, suggestions of damage to the stones, and so forth. This may assist in ensuring that the inspections review issues of critical importance to the long-range preservation of the cemetery.

1.6 Establish policy and procedures to identify, report, and respond to damage, vandalism, and theft within the cemetery.

We see no evidence – beyond our being retained to return to the cemetery – that an effort has been made on a routine basis to assess overall conditions.

1.7 Ensure that future staffing at the cemetery is adequate to provide appropriate maintenance (weekly mowing, appropriate turfgrass fertilization and broadleaf control, and other tasks as needed). It is particularly important to improve overall mowing care to prevent damage to stones.

It appears that the only maintenance the cemetery is receiving is weekly mowing. We saw no indication that the weedy turf has been renewed, that any weed applications have been undertaken, or that there has been any fertilization.

We understand that the GSA desires not to use either fertilization or weed control. If this is the case, then other methods should be sought to ensure a good turf in order to reduce maintenance (thus minimizing damage to the monuments), and improve overall appearance. For example, rather than the use of inorganic fertilizers often associated with run-off, we recommend the use of organic fertilizers, which are more environmentally sensitive.

Although we observed no indication of nylon trimmer damage, there was abundant evidence of new mower damage.

In sum, staffing is inadequate, is inappropriately trained, or is poorly supervised.

As an additional recommendation, it is essential that large deck mowers be excluded from use at the cemetery. No stone should be run over by mowers (some existing stones evidence black mower tracks). It is also essential that landscape technicians receive additional training and be adequately supervised during work in the cemetery.

1.8 Establish a policy that allows public access to the cemetery and ensure this access is not curtailed by the future residents of the property.

The Programmatic MOA for the work at St. Elizabeths states, “GSA will work with DHS to develop a Public Access Program (“Access Program”) for St. Elizabeths that respects the operational and functional needs of DHS and shares
Figure 3. Area just outside the cemetery, looking east. Top shows the viewshed in 2007; bottom shows the viewshed in 2016.
Figure 4. Northeastern section of the cemetery looking south. Top shows the viewshed in 2007; bottom shows the viewshed in 2016.
the experience, exceptional history and significance of the Site with the general public.” To this end the agreement specifies that the program will “include limited controlled, regular access to the Site including, at a minimum, the Point, the Cemetery, and Hitchcock Hall. All access will be pre-arranged and guided” (http://assets.stelizabethsdevelopment.com/documents/document_center/St.Es_ProgAgreement_Final_812091_20100419161713.pdf?CFTREEITEMKEY=D600).

The need for public access is reiterated by the 2012 GSA document, Interpretive Plan for Saint Elizabeths Hospital National Historic Landmark. This document suggests that there will be regular, scheduled tours of the campus open to the public, school and educational tours, individual or group visitation of the cemetery, and access to individual researchers.

We understand that the GSA conducted tours with the D.C. Preservation League, but these tours appear only on that organization's calendar. There is no widely publicized information regarding the tours. It would be helpful to make a more widely available link to allow individuals interested in visiting to sign-up for a tour.

1.9 Change all trimmer line used in the cemetery to a thickness of no greater than .065-inch.

It is unclear if this has been mandated, but we observed no evidence of discarded trimmer line and the stones did not indicate that trimmers with heavy line are in use.

1.10 Implement recommendations of the Bartlett study dealing with tree removal (without stump grinding), pruning, and fertilization for the cemetery area immediately.

It is impossible to assess compliance with this recommendation since St. Elizabeths has been so dramatically affected by the Emerald Ash Borer, which arrived in Washington, DC by at least 2014.

The canopy of the cemetery has been significantly altered by the removal of trees since 2007 and an additional 13 trees in and around the cemetery are dead or dying currently. These will be removed, we are told, this winter.

The presence of dead and dying trees in the cemetery threatens not only the landscape, but also the monuments. It is essential that the GSA expedite the removal of affected trees. It is equally essential that replacement trees be planted both in the cemetery and surrounding it to help renew the landscape.

1.11 Replant the four trees removed using oaks.

No trees have been replanted in the cemetery. Given the dramatic loss of vegetation this remains a critical recommendation, although of course the number needing to be replanted has escalated.

1.12 Conduct Priority 1 conservation treatments.

No conservation treatments have been conducted, although this study documents additional damage. In addition, we are able to identify increased rates of marble deterioration.

Priority 2 Recommendations

2.1 Conduct additional historical research in order to identify other civilian burials in the West Campus cemetery.

At least some additional historic research has been conducted, such as the document, St. Elizabeth Hospital: A History (Otto 2013). Produced as a requirement of the programmatic agreement executed by GSA for the development of the west campus, it unfortunately is preoccupied with the buildings and “cemetery” is mentioned only once, in association with Figure 3.4 (Otto 2013:83). That single caption claims that the iron crosses denote civilian graves, but provides no specific reference. The only general reference is to the photograph depicted, which was also used in the Chicora report (National Archives RG 418-P-544).

Unfortunately, Otto failed to incorporate previous research at the cemetery (Sulby 2004,
Trinkle et al. 2009). It does not appear than an effort was made to explore the patient records, in an effort to identify others who might be buried in this cemetery.

2.2 Erect regulatory and informational signage.

One can legitimately question the need for signage if no one is to be allowed to visit the cemetery. Consequently, this recommendation may be evaluated in the context of our strong feelings that the cemetery must be accessible to the public, both as a burial ground for those ignored by society, and also to ensure the continued commemoration of the soldiers who served their country during the Civil War.

However, in 2012 the GSA developed the document Interpretive Plan for Saint Elizabeths Hospital National Historic Landmark. This plan acknowledges the Programmatic Agreement to permit visitation and also stipulates that, “the Cemetery be included in the interpretive signage program.” In the succeeding four years this has not been accomplished, although we understand it is being done currently.

2.3 Redesign and renew the access road and parking area (provide better drainage, crown the road, install gravel, etc.).

In 2008, the St. Elizabeths West Campus Preservation, Design, & Development Guidelines were issued. The recommendation offered in this document was, “The materials of the roads have been changed and therefore may be altered. Retain the route, width, and unpaved character of the road to the West Campus Cemetery.” We don’t believe that the two recommendations are appreciably different. Some effort has been made to place gravel on a portion of the road, but this action has yet to be completed.

2.4 Clean access route shoulders and vista to remove trash and downed timber, and thin vegetation.

Some aspects of this have been accomplished, but only in the direction of the Coast Guard facilities (see Figure 4). Elsewhere, no effort has been made to clean up downed trees or thin vegetation (Figure 5).

2.5 Recast and replace iron crosses for Confederate graves.

We understand that no progress has been made in this recommendation, although such work is being planned.

2.6 Establish fescue turfgrass throughout cemetery.
No progress has been made to accomplish this task. We should perhaps note that the National Cemetery Administration conducted this type of work with regularity in VA cemeteries. Establishing a consistent turf would reduce maintenance needs, improve the cemetery appearance, and might assist in controlling what appears to be increased downslope erosion.

2.7 Conduct Priority 2 conservation treatments.

No action.

Priority 3 Recommendations

3.1 Convert chain link and iron fence to historically appropriate picket fence.

Not only has there been no action on the part of replacing the fence, there has been no effort to maintain the existing fence. Our recent assessment identified that the fence has been entirely lost in at least four locations as a result of falling trees (Figure 6).

Even if the GSA has determined that a "historically appropriate picket fence" is not desired, some visual boundary is essential to prevent future loss.

3.2 Phased implementation of other Bartlett recommendations for the cemetery including borer prevention, resolving soil compaction, cabling and bracing, and lightning protection.

We observed that lightning protection has been added to many trees – several of which are now dead. We saw no indication that an effort had been made to cable trees or reduce soil compaction.

In simple terms – as discussed elsewhere – the cemetery vegetation has been severely compromised by dead and dying trees, with no effort to remove hazard trees or replant. This must be given immediate attention. The GSA Regional Horticulturist acknowledges that there has been "severe degradation" of the site in regards to tree loss in the past seven years, but efforts are planned to removed dead and dying trees, as well as to replace those trees lost.

3.3 Conduct 5-year conservation assessment of the cemetery.

The 5-year reassessment was conducted nine years after the original work. We nevertheless consider this a good step since it allows this examination of actions taken and actions still needing work.

Summary

A summery is provided by Table 1, below. We can see that of the 12 Priority 1 recommendations one has been accomplished, two
## Table 1. Progress in Implementing Cemetery Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Accomplished</th>
<th>Mixed Progress</th>
<th>No progress</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Additional Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are receiving some progress, five lack any action, and in four cases we can't make a reasonable assessment of progress.

Of the seven Priority 2 recommendations, two have mixed progress, and five have received no action.

Of the three Priority 3 recommendations, one has been accomplished, one is seeing mixed progress, and one has received no action.

Overall, nine years after the initial study, 9% of the recommendations have been implemented; 23% have seen some action; but remain incomplete; 50% have not been acted upon, and the remaining 18% cannot be fully evaluated.

In addition, there are two additional recommendations which have come about as a result of our recent overview:

New 1. Large deck mowers must be excluded from use at the cemetery. No stone should be run over by mowers. Landscape technicians must receive additional training and be adequately supervised during work in the cemetery.

New 2. The presence of dead and dying trees in the cemetery threatens not only the landscape, but also the monuments. GSA must expedite the removal of affected trees. It is equally essential that replacement trees be planted both in the cemetery and surrounding it, to help renew the landscape.
Evaluation of 2007 Condition Reports

Part of this project incorporated a stone-by-stone review, using the Monument Inventory appearing as Appendix 2 in Trinkley and Hacker (2007).

Where the stone today was appreciably identical to the 2007 photograph, we made no changes to the 2007 monument recommendations. However, where there has been a change in a monument’s overall condition, a revised “Cemetery Field Survey Sheet” has been prepared and these are available in this study in the following pages.

In 2007 we identified 208 stones with names, as well as 11 additional monuments that are fragmentary, illegible, or are metal crosses, for a total of 219 monuments.

Also in 2007 we noted that there had been 23 stones lost and provided a list of those names (Trinkley and Hacker 2007: Table 9).

Changes in Conditions

We discovered that 19 stones evidenced additional damage since the 2007 assessment. This represents a deterioration or damage rate of 8.7% over nine years, or about 1% per year. This is unacceptable, especially as it primarily results from maintenance issues, including damage from dead wood and damage from mowing.

Ten of the stones with noticeable changes can be categorized as out of ground and/or broken. These are likely the result of either mowing or tree damage. Several cases can be directly attributed to mowing damage because of scrapes and additional fragmentation. Several stones also evidence black rubber tire marks.

An additional six stones are today out of the ground, likely the result of mower impacts, although erosion cannot be eliminated as a contributory cause.

One stone, while not out of the ground, evidences a distinct mower strike.

One stone, essentially plumb in 2007, is today badly tilting. This, too, is most likely the result of a mower impact.

One stone was identified as evidencing particularly noticeable additional wear, but was not re-photographed. This is almost certainly the result of its tilt, providing additional exposure to acid rain. Several other stones exhibit similar advanced wear, but were not as pronounced. They clearly document the need to proceed with conservation efforts.

New Stones

During this assessment we identified a new fragment in the upper right corner of the cemetery fence, which we have given the letter designation (in keeping with our past practice) of “N.” The stone is a midsection portion and does not contain any name or evidence of a shield. Nevertheless, it may be matched to a pre-existing stone once conservation efforts are undertaken at the cemetery.

A second stone was recently returned to the GSA from an auction house which recognized the stone as stolen government property (The Washington Times, June 11, 2012). Why it was removed remains a mystery, but it is one of the 23 that have gone missing since originally inventoried in the 1990s. It is stone 214, for Jordon Mann, and is included in this assessment.
CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET
INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 8  ZAI #: 15  Row #: 1  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Augustus, James

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:
- “Civil War” – shield
- Other:

Inscription: 8/JAMES AUGUSTUS/CO.A/11TH/U.S. INF.

Reverse:

Material:  marble  granite  other:

Gravestone Size (inches):  Height: 17  Width: 10  Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:  weathered  cracked  broken  vandalized
- unattached  loose  biologicals  stained
- repaired  portions missing: chips right edge
- tilted: degrees: E-W  N-  Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 80°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/22/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations
- Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- Clean with D/2 & rinse
- Other: determine if additional remains are underground.

Priority:
- (1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
- (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
- (3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
- (4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 8
CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET
INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 30  ZAI #: 130  Row #: 1  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Ford, J.C. [John C.]

Type of Marker/Monument:: Government Issue:
- “Civil War” – shield
- Other:

Inscription: 30/J.C. FORD/CO. F/18TH WIS./INF.

Reverse:

Material:  ■ marble  □ granite  □ other:

Gravestone Size (inches):  Height: 16  Width: 10  Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:  ■ weathered  □ cracked  □ broken  □ vandalized
- unattached  □ loose  ■ repaired  □ portions missing: chips mid left side – mower damage
- tilted: degrees: 45 E-W  5 N-S  Other:

Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 70º

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/22/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations
- Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- Clean with D/2 & rinse
- Other:

Priority:
1. unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
2. ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
3. no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
4. irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 30
Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  
Grave #: 32  
ZAI #: 332  
Row #: 1  
Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Thompson, Jno.  
[John]

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:
- ☒ “Civil War” – shield
- ☐ Other:

Inscription: 32/JNO. THOMPSON/N.Y.

Reverse:

Material:
- ☒ marble  
- ☐ granite  
- ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches):
- Height: 20  
- Width: 10  
- Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:
- ☒ weathered  
- ☐ cracked  
- ☒ broken  
- ☐ vandalized  
- ☐ unattached  
- ☒ loose  
- ☒ biologicals  
- ☐ stained  
- ☐ repaired  
- ☒ portions missing: chunk right side – mower damage  
- ☐ tilted: degrees: E-W  
- N-  
- Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East  
Marker inscription faces what direction: 70º

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  
Date: 1/22/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

- ☒ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- ☒ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- ☒ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- ☒ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- ☒ Clean with D/2 & rinse
- ☐ Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:
- (1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
- (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
- (3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
- (4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 32
Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 110  ZAI #: 318  Row #: 3  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Smith, S.M.  [Silas M.]

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:

- [x] “Civil War” – shield  [ ] Other:

Inscription: 110/S.M. SMITH/CO. I/80ME./INF.

Reverse:

Material:  [x] marble  [ ] granite  [ ] other:

Gravestone Size (inches):  Height: 17  Width: 10  Thickness: 2


Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 65º

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/23/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

- [x] Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- [x] Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- [x] Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- [x] Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- [x] Clean with D/2 & rinse
- [x] Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:

(1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
(2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
(3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
(4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 110
CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET
INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 152  ZAI #: 341  Row #: 4  Photo No: 

Name(s) on marker: Travers, Darius

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:
- ☒ “Civil War” – shield
- ☐ Other:

Inscription: 152/DARIUS TRAVERS/CO. D/7TH N.Y.H./ART.

Reverse:

Material:  ☒ marble  ☐ granite  ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches):
- Height: 18
- Width: 10
- Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:
- ☒ weathered
- ☐ cracked
- ☐ broken
- ☐ vandalized
- ☐ unattached
- ☒ loose
- ☐ repaired
- ☒ portions missing: chipped right and left sides
- ☒ tilted: degrees: E-W  N-  Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: Marker inscription faces what direction: 0

Condition of Grave:

Surveyor:  Date:

Recommendations
- ☒ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- ☐ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- ☒ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- ☐ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- ☒ Clean with D/2 & rinse
- ☐ Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:
- (1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
- (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
- (3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
- (4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
EVALUATION OF 2007 CONDITION REPORTS

Cemetery Field Survey Sheet
Individual Marker/Monument

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West
Grave #: 174
ZAI #: 83
Row #: 2
Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Conroy, Patrick

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:
- “Civil War” – shield
- Other:

Inscription: 174/PATRICK CONROY/CO. A/16TH/U.S. INF.

Reverse:
Material: ☒ marble ☐ granite ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches): Height: 19 Width: 10 Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker: ☒ weathered ☐ cracked ☐ broken ☐ vandalized
- unattached ☒ loose ☒ biologicals ☒ stained
- repaired ☒ portions missing: chip upper left corner
- tilted: degrees: E-W N- Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East
Marker inscription faces what direction: 60°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker
Date: 1/23/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

- ☒ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- ☐ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- ☐ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- ☐ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- ☒ Clean with D/2 & rinse
- ☐ Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:
1. unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
2. ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
3. no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
4. irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 174
**Cemetery Field Survey Sheet**  
**Individual Marker/Monument**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cemetery:</th>
<th>St. Elizabeths West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grave #:</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAI #:</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row #:</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo No:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Name(s) on Marker:** Schafe, Frederick

**Type of Marker/Monument:** Government Issue:

- [x] “Civil War” – shield
- [ ] Other:

**Inscription:** [190]/FREDER[ICK] [SCH]AFE/CO. H/ 18TH/U.S. INF.

**Reverse:**

- [ ] marble
- [ ] granite
- [ ] other:

**Gravestone Size (inches):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height:</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Width:</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness:</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Condition of Marker:**

- [x] weathered
- [x] cracked
- [x] loose
- [ ] broken
- [ ] biologicals
- [ ] vandalized
- [x] stained
- [ ] unattached
- [ ] loose
- [ ] portions missing:
- [ ] repaired
- [ ] biologicals
- [ ] vandalized
- [ ] S
- [x] tilted: degrees: E-W 70º
- [ ] N-S
- [ ] other:

**Grave Orientation:** East

**Marker inscription faces what direction:** 70º

**Condition of Grave:** satisfactory

**Surveyor:** D. Hacker  
**Date:** 1/23/07, revised 10/28/16

**Recommendations**

- [x] Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- [ ] Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- [x] Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- [ ] Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- [x] Clean with D/2 & rinse
- [ ] Other: determine if additional remains are underground

**Priority:**

1. [x] unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
2. [ ] ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
3. [ ] no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
4. [ ] irreparable

**Photographs on Following Page**
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 190
Cemetery Field Survey Sheet

**Individual Marker/Monument**

**Cemetery:** St. Elizabeths West

**Grave #:** 214

**ZAI #:**

**Row #:** 5

**Photo No:**

**Name(s) on marker:** Mann, Jordan

**Type of Marker/Monument:** Government Issue:
- ☒ “Civil War” – shield
- ☐ Other:

**Inscription:** 214/JORDAN MANN/CO. C/12TH Mo./CAV.

**Reverse:**

**Material:**
- ☒ marble
- ☐ granite
- ☐ other:

**Gravestone Size (inches):**
- Height: ca. 4’
- Width: ca. 10
- Thickness: ca. 2

**Condition of Marker:**
- ☒ weathered
- ☐ cracked
- ☐ broken
- ☐ biologicals
- ☐ vandalized
- ☐ stained
- ☐ unattached
- ☐ loose
- ☐ portions missing:
- ☐ repaired
- ☐ tilted: degrees: E-W N-S
- ☐ Other:

**Grave Orientation:**

**Marker inscription faces what direction:**

**Condition of Grave:**

**Surveyor:** D. Hacker (based on photo only)

**Date:** 10/28/16

**Recommendations**

- ☒ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- ☐ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- ☐ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- ☐ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- ☒ Clean with D/2 & rinse
- ☐ Other: exact location unknown; place in Row 5 in order

**Priority:**
- (1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
- (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
- (3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
- (4) irreparable

**Priority:** 2

**Photographs on Following Page**
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 214
St. Elizabeths West
Grave #: 215
ZAI #: 263
Row #: 5

Name(s) on marker: Nagel, Julius

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:
- ☒ “Civil War” – shield
- ☐ Other:

Inscription: 215/JULIUS NAGEL/CO. H/15TH N.Y.H./ART.

Material:
- ☒ marble
- ☐ granite
- ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches):
- Height: 19
- Width: 10
- Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:
- ☒ weathered
- ☐ cracked
- ☐ broken
- ☐ vandalized
- ☐ unattached
- ☐ loose
- ☐ portions missing:
- ☐ tilted: degrees: E-W
- ☐ N-S
- ☐ Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East
Marker inscription faces what direction: 80°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker
Date: 1/23/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations
- ☒ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- ☒ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- ☒ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- ☒ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- ☒ Clean with D/2 & rinse
- ☒ Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:
- (1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
- (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
- (3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
- (4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 215
CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET
INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 260  ZAI #: 84  Row #: 6  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Conway, Patrick

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:
- “Civil War” – shield
- Other:

Inscription: 260/PAT’K. CONWAY/CO. K/10TH KY./INF.

Reverse:

Material:  ☒ marble  ☐ granite  ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches):  Height: 18  Width: 10  Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:  ☒ weathered  ☐ cracked  ☐ broken  ☐ vandalized
- unattached  ☐ loose  ☐ portions missing:
- repaired  ☐ biologicals  ☐ stained
- tilted: degrees: E-W  N-  Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 90°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/23/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

- Reset/level in ground; align to existing row  Priority: 1
- Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- Clean with D/2 & rinse
- Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:
(1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
(2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
(3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
(4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
Cemetery Field Survey Sheet
Individual Marker/Monument

Cemetery: St. Elizabeth’s West
Grave #: 300
ZAI #: 298
Row #: 7
Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Sheehan, Cornelius – corrected to Meehan, Cornelius

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:

- “Civil War” – shield
- Other:

Inscription: [300]/CORNELIUS [MEE]HAN/CO. E/68TH/PA. INF.

Reverse:

Material: marble
- granite
- other:

Gravestone Size (inches):
- Height: 14
- Width: 10
- Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:

- weathered
- cracked
- broken
- vandalized
- unattached
- loose
- biologicals
- stained
- repaired
- portions missing:
  - Other: fallen since 2007
  - tilted: degrees:
    - E-W
    - N-S

Grave Orientation: East
Marker inscription faces what direction: 70°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker
Date: 1/23/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

- Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- Clean with D/2 & rinse
- Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:

1. unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
2. ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
3. no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
4. irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery  

Grave #: 300
CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET

INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 374  ZAI #: 308  Row #: 19  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Slevin, Michael

Type of Marker/Monument:: Government Issue:

☐ “Civil War” – shield  ☐ Other:

Inscription: 374/MICH'L. SLEVIN/CO. B./181ST/OHIO INF.

Reverse:

Material:  ☒ marble  ☐ granite  ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches):  Height: 19  Width: 10  Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:  ☒ weathered  ☐ cracked  ☒ broken  ☐ vandalized

☐ unattached  ☐ loose  ☐ portions missing:

☐ repaired  ☐ biologicals  ☐ stained

☐ tilted: degrees:  E-W  N-

Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 70°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/24/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

☒ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row  ☒ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
☒ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment  ☒ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
☒ Clean with D/2 & rinse  ☒ Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:

1 (1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
2 (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
3 (3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
4 (4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 374
Chicora Foundation, Inc.
PO Box 8664
Columbia, SC 29202
803-787-6910

CEMETARY FIELD SURVEY SHEET
INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 388A  ZAI #: 253  Row #: 17  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Miller, T.

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:
  ☑ “Civil War” – shield
   ☐ Other:

Inscription: [388A]/T. [MI]LLER/---
[Note: the line at the end of the inscription indicates that the government did not have the military Information for the inscription]

Reverse:
Material: ☑ marble  ☐ granite  ☐ other:
Gravestone Size (inches): Height: 21  Width: 10  Thickness: 2
Condition of Marker: ☑ weathered  ☐ cracked  ☑ broken  ☐ vandalized
   ☐ unattached  ☐ loose  ☐ biologicals  ☐ stained
   ☐ repaired  ☑ portions missing: mower damage top
   ☐ tilted: degrees: E-W  N-  Other: fallen since 2007
Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 70º
Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/24/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations
☑ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
☑ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
☑ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
☐ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
☑ Clean with D/2 & rinse
☐ Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority: (1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
         (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
         (3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
         (4) irreparable
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St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 388A
Cemetery Field Survey Sheet
Individual Marker/Monument

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West
Grave #: 401
Row #: 16
Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Adreon, D.C. [corrected to C.C.; Chris C.]

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:

☐ “Civil War” – shield
☐ Other:

Inscription: 401/C.C.ADREON/LIEUT./8TH MD./INF.

Reverse:

Material: ☐ marble ☐ granite ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches):
Height: 12
Width: 10
Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:
☐ weathered ☐ cracked ☐ broken ☐ vandalized
☐ unattached ☐ loose ☐ biologicals ☐ stained
☐ repaired ☐ portions missing: entire top; probable mower impact
☐ tilted: degrees: 17 E-W 3 N-S ☐ other:

Grave Orientation: East
Marker inscription faces what direction: 70º

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker
Date: 1/24/07; revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

☐ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
☐ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
☐ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
☐ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
☐ Clean with D/2 & rinse
☐ Other: attempt to located broken and missing top section; otherwise replacement may be necessary

Priority:
(1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
(2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
(3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
(4) irreparable
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St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 401
Cemetery Field Survey Sheet

Individual Marker/Monument

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 404  ZAI #: 112  Row #: 15  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Dunbar, Carson

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:

- [ ] “Civil War” – shield
- [ ] Other:

Inscription: 404/CAR[SON] DUNBAR/CO. H. 102D/PA. INF.

Reverse:

Material:  [ ] marble  [ ] granite  [ ] other:

Gravestone Size (inches):  Height: 23  Width: 10  Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker:  [ ] weathered  [ ] cracked
- [ ] unattached  [ ] loose  [ ] broken  [ ] vandalized
- [ ] repaired  [ ] portions missing:
- [ ] tilted: degrees:  E-W  N-S  Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 80°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/24/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

- [x] Reset/level in ground; align to existing row  Priority: (1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
- [ ] Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- [ ] Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- [ ] Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- [ ] Clean with D/2 & rinse
- [x] Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Photographs on Following Page

Priority: (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
(3) no treatment required, reinspect in 5 years
(4) irreparable
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery  Grave #: 404
### CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET

**INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT**

- **Cemetery:** St. Elizabeths West
- **Grave #:** 406
- **ZAI #:** 297
- **Row #:** 15
- **Photo No:**

**Name(s) on marker:** Shacklett, J.L. [John]

**Type of Marker/Monument:** Government Issue:

- ☒ “Civil War” – shield
- ☐ Other:

**Inscription:** 406/J.L. SHACKLETT/CO. A/10TH IND./INF.

**Reverse:**

- **Material:** ☒ marble ☐ granite ☐ other:

**Gravestone Size (inches):**

- **Height:** 24
- **Width:** 10
- **Thickness:** 2

**Condition of Marker:**

- ☒ weathered
- ☐ cracked
- ☒ broken
- ☐ vandalized
- ☐ unattached
- ☐ loose
- ☐ biologicals
- ☒ stained
- ☐ repaired
- ☒ portions missing: chips left edge
- ☐ tilted: degrees: 24 E-W 25 N-S
- ☐ Other: additional mower strikes

**Grave Orientation:** East

**Marker inscription faces what direction:** 80º

**Condition of Grave:** satisfactory

**Surveyor:** D. Hacker

**Date:** 1/24/07, revised 10/28/16

---

**Recommendations**

| ☒ | Reset/level in ground; align to existing row |
| ☐ | Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric |
| ☒ | Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment |
| ☒ | Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker |
| ☒ | Clean with D/2 & rinse |
| ☐ | Other: |

**Priority:**

1. unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
2. ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
3. no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
4. irreparable
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St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 406
Cemetery Field Survey Sheet

Individual Marker/Monument

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: 432  ZAI #: 177  Row #: 12  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: Hursh, Cyrus [corrected]

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:

- “Civil War” – shield
- Other:

Inscription: 432/C[YRU]S HURSH/CO. [K]/134TH/OHIO INF.  [corrected]

Reverse:

Material:  
- marble
- granite
- other:

Gravestone Size (inches):  Height:  Width:  Thickness:

Condition of Marker:  
- weathered
- unattached
- repaired
- loose
- portions missing:
- broken
- biologicals
- vandalized
- stained
- Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 75°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/24/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

- Reset/level in ground; align to existing row
- Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
- Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment
- Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
- Clean with D/2 & rinse
- Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:

1. unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
2. ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
3. no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
4. irreparable
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St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: 432
CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET
INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: C  ZAI #:  Row #: E of last stone in Row 1  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker:

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:

☑ “Civil War” – shield  ☐ Other:

Inscription: [   ]/[   ]/[   ]/U.S. VOLS.

Reverse:

Material:  ☑ marble  ☐ granite  ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches):  Height: 15½  Width: 10  Thickness: 1¾

Condition of Marker:  ☑ weathered  ☐ cracked  ☐ broken  ☐ vandalized
☐ unattached  ☐ loose  ☐ biologicals  ☐ stained
☐ repaired  ☐ portions missing:
☐ tilted: degrees:  E-W  N-S  Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 70°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/23/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

☒ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row  ☒ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
☒ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment  ☒ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
☒ Clean with D/2 & rinse  ☒ Other: determine if additional remains are underground

Priority:

(1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year  (2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
(3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years  (4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
CHICORA FOUNDATION, INC.
PO Box 8664
Columbia, SC 29202
803-787-6910

CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET
INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT

Cemetery: St. Elizabeths West  Grave #: G  ZAI #:  Row #: 3  Photo No:

Name(s) on marker: none

Type of Marker/Monument: Government Issue:

☐ “Civil War” – shield  ☐ Other: basal portion only

Inscription: None

Reverse:

Material: ☒ marble  ☐ granite  ☐ other:

Gravestone Size (inches): Height: 12  Width: 10  Thickness: 2

Condition of Marker: ☐ weathered  ☐ cracked  ☒ broken  ☐ vandalized
☐ unattached  ☐ loose  ☐ biologicals  ☐ stained
☐ repaired  ☒ portions missing: upper half of stone
☐ tilted: degrees: E-W  N-S  ☐ Other: fallen since 2007

Grave Orientation: East  Marker inscription faces what direction: 80°

Condition of Grave: satisfactory

Surveyor: D. Hacker  Date: 1/23/07, revised 10/28/16

Recommendations

☒ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row  ☐ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric
☒ Consolidate w/ HCT & OH-100 treatment  ☒ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker
☐ Clean with D/2 & rinse  ☐ Other:

Priority:

(1) unstable, requires
   treatment current or next fiscal year
(2) ongoing, requires
   treatment next 2-3 years
(3) no treatment required, re-
   inspect in 5 years
(4) irreparable

Photographs on Following Page
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: G
**CEMETERY FIELD SURVEY SHEET**

**INDIVIDUAL MARKER/MONUMENT**

- **Cemetery:** St. Elizabeths West
- **Grave #:** N
- **ZAI #:**
- **Row #:** 1
- **Photo No:**

**Name(s) on marker:** none

**Type of Marker/Monument:** Government Issue:

- "Civil War" – shield
- Other: bottom or mid-section only

**Inscription:** none

**Reverse:**

- **Material:**  
  - ☑ marble
  - ☐ granite
  - ☐ other:

**Gravestone Size (inches):**

- **Height:**
- **Width:**
- **Thickness:**

**Condition of Marker:**

- ☑ weathered
- ☐ unattached
- ☐ cracked
- ☑ loose
- ☑ broken
- ☐ biologicals
- ☐ vandalized
- ☐ stained
- ☐ portions missing:
  - tilted: degrees: E-W
  - N-S
  - Other: stone has appeared since 2007

**Grave Orientation:**

- Marker inscription faces what direction: 0

**Condition of Grave:**

**Surveyor:** D. Hacker  
**Date:** 10/28/16

### Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Reset/level in ground; align to existing row</td>
<td>(1) unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Drill/pin to maintain historic fabric</td>
<td>(2) ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Consolidate w/ HCT &amp; OH-100 treatment</td>
<td>(3) no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Consider replacement with “XA” NCA marker</td>
<td>(4) irreparable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Clean with D/2 &amp; rinse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Other: determine if this portion fits another broken monument in the cemetery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Priority:**

1. unstable, requires treatment current or next fiscal year
2. ongoing, requires treatment next 2-3 years
3. no treatment required, re-inspect in 5 years
4. irreparable

**Photographs on Following Page**
St. Elizabeths West Cemetery

Grave #: N
Changes in Conservation Recommendations

Things often appear differently when examined over time than they do when examined at any particular instant. We have had a decade to evaluate deterioration conditions and ponder on the recommendations offered in 2007. As a result, we have some modifications of our original thoughts.

First, in terms of cleaning, we notice that the level of biologicals on the marble stones is increasing. There is more sunlight and greater air movement, so we are at loss to explain this phenomenon, but it suggests to use that the use of D/2 Biological Solution (http://www.d2bio.com/) remains an appropriate recommendation. We still, however, recommend flushing the stone after cleaning and we do not recommend cleaning more often than every 5-10 years.

Second, we are generally ambivalent regarding consolidation efforts. However, we believe that the monuments at St. Elizabeths are facing accelerated rates of atmospheric deterioration. Sugaring is even more pronounced today that it was in 2007. As a result, we strongly recommend the use, minimally, of Prosoco’s HCT on all stones with any stage of sugaring. We also believe that there is likely some significant benefit to be gained in the use of an ethyl silicate stone consolidant such as Prosoco’s OH100. The history and benefits are briefly outlined by John Fidler, George Wheeler, and Dwayne Fuhlhage in the Spring 2011 Getty Conservation Institute Newsletter.

Silicate-based treatments for decaying stonework have been around for nearly 150 years. Ethyl silicates have been used successfully in stone conservation since at least the 1920s. From the 1970s onward, ethyl silicate-based consolidants have become the material of choice of professional conservators. No other consolidants match their low viscosities and surface tensions, the stability of the gel they form with respect to damaging ultraviolet radiation, and their relative effectiveness across stone types. A key feature of their use is the moderately slow gelling reactions that allow the liquid to penetrate decayed stonework and then convert to the stable solid that provides consolidation. However, these gelling reactions produce ethanol, which eventually off-gases. (http://www.getty.edu/conservation/publications_resources/newletters/26_1/truths.html).

OH100 complies with the EPA AIM Regulations and it is also available in regulation-exempt small container sizes.

Should its use still be problematic, Swanson observes,

In areas where VOC compliance regulations prevent the use of Conservare OH100, the Funcosil 500 STE could be a viable alternative product because the increased gel deposition rate of 50% w/v lowers the VOC emissions. Significantly higher than the 30% w/v deposited by OH 100, the increased solid deposition percentage could also be further increased with the added weight of the deposited silica nano-particles. Based on the testing completed in this thesis 500 STE is an alternative treatment from the standpoint that it is a successful alkoxysilane consolidant, increasing the tensile strength of an appropriate stone treated with it, and could
therefore be a viable alternative product for OH 100 where VOC emission is a serious consideration (Swanson 2014:74).

Third, we still recommend repair over replacement in most cases. The currently available National Cemetery Administration (NCA) “XA” replacement is not an especially good match to the stones present in the cemetery.

It is nevertheless important to understand that this is cemetery, not a vacant building, and the stones are not mere artifacts, but the visual memorials to those who died. Thus, when a monument no longer serves the purpose of ensuring the memory of the individual whose grave it is marking, replacement is both appropriate and essential.

Fourth, there is the issue of “found” stones, such as the one recently surrendered by an auction house. This stone marked the grave of Jordan Mann, until removed sometime in the 1990s.

We understand that some with the GSA are reluctant to return the monument to the cemetery, citing its excellent condition and the uncertainty of where it belongs. We view these concerns as unfounded.

Treatment of the monument with HCT will provide additional weathering protection, even without the use of an ethyl silicate stone consolidant. As for its correct location, there is considerable suggestion (further discussed in the following section) that many of the monuments at St. Elizabeths are no longer in their correct positions. This does not hinder these monuments from memorializing those buried in the cemetery. In fact, the only hindrance to their memory is not having a stone to publicize their name and service.

Thus, we strongly believe that the Mann stone should be returned to St. Elizabeths and placed in its approximate order.

Fifth, there is the issue of stones documented, but no longer present. These may include monuments observed in photographs, listed in previous tabulations, or found as orders in historic records.

We believe that all such individuals should have replacement stones – even NCA “XA” stones – cut and placed in the cemetery in approximate order. In order to ensure that future historians are not misled, a date of erection can be added to the reverse of the stone, clearly marking it as a replacement.

Summary

This re-evaluation has demonstrated that there is a significant amount of damage occurring on a routine basis as a result of either improper mowing activity or the failure to appropriately deal with hazardous trees.

While a damage rate of 1% a year may seem trivial, it is not – especially in a historic cemetery where the monuments are already damaged and fragile. It is essential that GSA both improve maintenance activities at the cemetery and also fund Priority 1 and 2 conservation treatments. After spending millions of dollars on the facility, this does not seem to be an unreasonable expenditure for the preservation of this cemetery and the memory of those who died fighting for the Union.
Revised List of Individuals Identified

In our 2007 assessment of the St. Elizabeths West Cemetery, we included as an appendix a list of those burials we felt we had identified (Trinkley and Hacker 2007:Appendix 3). Since that time additional resources have become available and it seemed appropriate to update that list. It also provided a new opportunity to review the grave numbers that were assigned.

The first data set includes cards of headstone contracts provided by the government for deceased Union soldiers. Most soldiers included in this database died between ca. 1861 and ca. 1903, but the gravestones were erected between ca. 1879 and ca. 1903. Most of our information comes from these cards, but we have noticed that a very large proportion of our St. Elizabeths patients with last names beginning with “A” or “B” are not included, suggesting that this file may have suffered losses over time.

The second data set contains cards recording details for members of the U.S. Army interred in national cemeteries. St. Elizabeths must not have been considered a national cemetery since relatively few individuals in the cemetery have cards in this dataset – but there are a few.

Finally, we must also thank those contributing to the Find A Grave website. We

Since our 2007 work Ancestry.com has included Card Records of Headstones Provided for Deceased Union Civil War Veterans, ca. 1879-ca. 1903 (Records of the Office of the Quartermaster General, Record Group 92; National Archives, Washington, D.C.) and the Interment Control Forms, 1928–1962 (Office of the Quartermaster General, 1774–1985, Record Group 92. The National Archives at College Park, College Park, Maryland). These records were always available, of course, but our research budget did not allow for a detailed or intensive search.

Figure 7. Records of headstones. On the left is an example of the Card Record of Headstones Provided for Deceased Union Civil War Veterans; on the right is an example of an Interment Control Form.
discovered that several individuals have gone through our previous work, abstracting photographs for posting. Most importantly, they also seem to have corrected most of our previous errors using the records we have just mentioned.

The new list we have included here retains our original mistakes, allowing easy comparison to our previous report and findings. But, we have added an additional column in which we have made corrections or additions. These include additional name information when the stone only provided initials. It also includes any disagreement between the number we can read on the stone with the number entered on the card. Most importantly, it includes correct spellings in cases where there was so much erosion that we did not correctly record the last name.

In 2007 we also included a list of 23 stones which were no longer found in the cemetery. We have significantly updated and corrected this list. In each case we have included the source of the information to assist researchers in the future. We now have at least 32 monuments that were present at one time, but have now disappeared.

Another way of exploring this concern is to look at the numbers missing from the existing sequence. If we assume that all sequential numbers were assigned and used, then we find that there are about 221 missing numbers – and presumably stones.

Of course, some of the hospital correspondence reveals that they were beginning to confuse numbers between the West and more recent East cemeteries. Thus, it is possible that not all of these numbers were, in fact, used. In any event, the available information suggests that a great many graves in the cemetery may be unmarked.

In 2006 Earth Resources Technology conducted a ground penetrating radar (GPR) survey of the cemetery. Their findings were ambiguous, the data indicate that there are probably more graves than there are gravestones, but that graves did not have a specific, consistent character on the GPR profiles and thus no specific graves could be identified (letter from James L. Stuby, Earth Resources Technology, to Kyu Jung, GSA, dated September 22, 206).

Thus, we continue to be able to say only that there are between 500 and 600 burials at the West Campus cemetery, most of which are unmarked.

**Order of Stone Numbers**

We have previously questioned the idea that the Grave Numbers assigned on the cards and found on the stones, are sequential with death date (Trinkley and Hacker 2007:25). With the additional information now available, we see no reason to modify this view.

While the dates approximately correlate with the grave numbers, they are not precise. Of course, this may be the result of more recent burials filling older voids. Or it may be the result of graves added to the side or other open spaces, perhaps as the result of a tree dying. Or families may have eventually been able to remove remains, leaving an open spot that was filled with a much later burial.

In any case, while it seems clear that grave 1 is far older than grave 400, this doesn’t mean that the grave markers can be arranged in strict numerical order.

In fact, one of the sad mysteries remaining at the St. Elizabeths West Cemetery is an understanding of who is actually buried where.

**Civilian and Other Deaths**

We know the names and death dates for at least two civilians thought to be buried at St. Elizabeths West: Sarah Fontain and Ann M.
Mattingly. Neither has any sort of marker remaining today. Their graves appear lost.

We have previously mentioned that Otto (2013) has reported the iron crosses were associated with civilian burials, although he provides no citation. We must question this logic.

Most fundamentally, none of reported iron crosses have the correct initials or death date for either Fontain or Mattingly. In addition, we have a difficult time believing that the indigent or “friendless” graves would at any point be marked by cast iron crosses with initials and death dates. That seems far too expensive a choice. Alternatively, we know there is a history of cast iron markers for Confederate graves which began probably about 1900 using a Maltese Cross design (although technically these were supplements to the grave and not strictly a grave marker). It may be that this obviously Confederate marker was unacceptable at a government hospital, so an alternative design was chosen. Or, since Confederate markers weren’t approved by Congress until 1906, the iron crosses may pre-date that time.

It may be possible to identify the initials or death dates in the voluminous hospital case files in order to better determine for whom they were designed.

In any event, these crosses can be reproduced by Robinson Iron Works, 1856 Robinson Rd., Alexander City, AL 35010 (http://www.robinsoniron.com/). The first step will need to be conservation treatment of the existing cross so it can be submitted to create the pattern for additional castings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name as Transcribed in 2007</th>
<th>Stone #</th>
<th>ZA1 #</th>
<th>Entry #</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Death Date</th>
<th>Corrections and Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adreon, D.C.</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/4/1872</td>
<td>name listed as Chris C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, Jacob</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/25/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almena, Peter</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/29/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Peter</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/1864</td>
<td>card says grave 211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnel, Jacob</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/19/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold, Rickert</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/7/1864</td>
<td>card says grave 249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augustus, James</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/18/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becktel, Johannes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/12/1868</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bailey, Freeman</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/18/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Bagley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bailey, Isaac</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bailey, Jno.</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/30/1873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barney, Teddo</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/22/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batdorf, Emmanuel</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/25/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Jno.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/13/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bender, Peter</td>
<td>133A</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/29/1870</td>
<td>card says grave 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett, Conner</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/27/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berswinger, Gottfried</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>204</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/15/1872</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bickert, Jno.</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/23/1865</td>
<td>name spelled Bickert on card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluhholcer, Jonathan</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23, 36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/24/1865</td>
<td>name spelled Bluholder on card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blum, D.L.</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/3/1864</td>
<td>name listed as Frank L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boe, C.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/30/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Charles C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley, L.S.</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/30/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Lowell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braywick, M.C.</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/8/1869</td>
<td>name listed as M.G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, James</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/21/1866</td>
<td>card says grave 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromagem, J.M.</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/6/1871</td>
<td>name listed as James M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brower, F.A.</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/29/1864</td>
<td>name listed as Peter A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Chas.</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/13/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Jno.</td>
<td>247A</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/30/1865</td>
<td>possible duplicate stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, John</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/10/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce, Henry</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/18/1869</td>
<td>name listed as Burg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunner, Harrison</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/19/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bushman, Henry</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttard, Michi</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cum, J.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/29/1865</td>
<td>iron cross; reported as J.W. in Maggioncalda 2004:4-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cum, Martin</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/13/1874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp, Chas.</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/8/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter, Joseph</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>211</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/29/1875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry, David</td>
<td>177A</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/2/1869</td>
<td>card identifies grave as 177A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapman, Thos.</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/1870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark, Jno.</td>
<td>159A</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/23/1864</td>
<td>card identifies grave as 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark, Nathan</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/13/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clary, Jno.</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/9/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifford, Jno.</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/17/1870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobl, Frederick</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/20/1866</td>
<td>name listed as Buop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman, Timothy</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/16/1871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comings, W. H.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/29/1866</td>
<td>name listed as William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name as Transcribed in 2007</td>
<td>Stone #</td>
<td>ZAI #</td>
<td>Entry #</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Death Date</td>
<td>Corrections and Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroy, Patrick</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/5/1867</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conway, Patrick</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/26/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook, James</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/6/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook, Wm.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/19/1866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorington, Evans</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>9/25/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantfield, J.E.</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/19/1866</td>
<td>name listed as John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crounce, Erastus</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>181</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/30/1871</td>
<td>name listed as Crouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuprort, Francis</td>
<td>37/2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>174</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/1871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, J.A.</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/26/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Joel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desau, Peter</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/2/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Desau, Peter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deurrett, Isaac j.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/5/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diggins, Albert</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>189</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/6/1871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolan, Pat'k</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/11/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dag, Cyrus</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/13/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorr, Geo.</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/23/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunbar, Carson</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>199</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/11/1872</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duvall, Eugene</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>114, 385</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/30/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elwell, Chas.</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/17/1869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erne, Herbert</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/17/1871</td>
<td>name listed as Herbut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaston, Fred.</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/22/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everett, Alex</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/17/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faulkner, Henry</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/26/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fichter, Henry</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/29/1870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foley, Barley</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/20/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford, J.E.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/11/1865</td>
<td>name listed as John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox, Newton</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/3/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank, George</td>
<td>3A</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>8/12/1866</td>
<td>card says grave B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frazier, Ira</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>7/3/1866</td>
<td>card says grave C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattrell, O.G.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/28/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Cattrell, Daniel G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwin, Elisha</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/12/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goulden, Edward</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/20/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray, Wm.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/28/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green, Leonard</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/15/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grintmer, E.R.</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>92, 147</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/6/1872</td>
<td>name listed as [edward]P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larm, Henry</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/18/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ha_, __, G.</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td>possibly duplicate stone for Hooten, stone 395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannah, Jno.</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/22/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayes, Peter</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/30/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heaton, Ralph</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>216</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/9/1873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helm, Joshua</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/4/1871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henn, F.N.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/12/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbert, Henry</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>217</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/15/1873</td>
<td>card says grave 439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesch, Moritz</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>119, 195, 213</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/19/1865</td>
<td>card says grave 91, name listed as Liesch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hens, Henry</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/17/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, C.M.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/10/1866</td>
<td>card says grave E, name listed as George W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirsch, Leonard</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/18/1870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holman, Liberty</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/31/1873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooten, G.E.</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/18/1871</td>
<td>name listed as George E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard, T.L.</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/16/1864</td>
<td>name listed as Thomas L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howie, J.J.</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/12/1865</td>
<td>name listed as John L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huckeys, J.L.</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/20/1872</td>
<td>name listed as Jesse L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hursch, Chris</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>214</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/21/1872</td>
<td>name listed as Cyrus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson, Thomas</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>3/31/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Rob'rt</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/17/1872</td>
<td>card says grave D98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph, Moses</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/6/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaeenret, Fred'k</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>209</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/22/1872</td>
<td>name listed as Kreenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanz, David</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/24/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ked, E.D.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/25/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Edward O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellogg, A.C.</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/6/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Allen G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kestadrick, Joseph</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/12/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2, cont.

**Individuals/Monuments Found in the Cemetery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name as Transcribed in 2007</th>
<th>Stone #</th>
<th>ZAI #</th>
<th>Entry #</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Death Date</th>
<th>Corrections and Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>King, Chas.</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/6/1864</td>
<td>card lists no grave number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kling, Peter</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/25/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kluck, Theo.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>116,197</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/29/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Klage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korpe, Francis</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>198, 199</td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/4/1871</td>
<td>card lists grave 399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kue, J.A.</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/20/1864</td>
<td>name listed as Julius R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamerser, Alfred</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>383, 402</td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/26/1870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langelen, Carl</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landon, Wm.</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/10/1872</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langner, Godfrey</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/4/1871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavell, Michi1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/1/1860</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawnell, William</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/25/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Walter</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/27/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levis, Wm. K.</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/27/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerius, Charles</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/19/1863</td>
<td>card lists grave A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, James</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/3/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long, Wm.</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/7/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludwig, Jno.</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/9/1869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maguire, Jno.</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>183</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/20/1871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malloy, Park</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/13/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mann, Jordan</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/6/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Chas.</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/7/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcburney, Chas.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFar, Jno.</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>209</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/22/1872</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McBride, Andrew</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/23/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCormick, Daniel</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/1/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCoy, James</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/22/1870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCubins, Joshua</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/22/1865</td>
<td>card lists grave 242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCutcheon, Duncan</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/28/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonnelly, Park</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/7/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClosky, Jno.</td>
<td>228A</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/24/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCrady, Michi1</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/22/1872</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McPherson, Samuel</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>246, 3/6</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/7/1865</td>
<td>name listed as McPheran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menker, Henry</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/24/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michau, Chas.</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>136</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/26/1860</td>
<td>name listed as Michan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles, Park</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/11/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Milb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, C.G.</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/20/1870</td>
<td>name listed as Christopher G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, T.</td>
<td>388A</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>186</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/15/1871</td>
<td>card lists grave 388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morse, Ethen</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/16/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulcahy, Michi1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/18/1866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munroe, Geo.</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/26/1871</td>
<td>card lists grave 362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagle, Julian</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/24/1864</td>
<td>card lists no grave number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nos, E.</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/22/1863</td>
<td>name listed as Louis Ernest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oates, D.C.</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/22/1863</td>
<td>name listed as David C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Connor, Dari1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/22/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paine, D.O.</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/10/1865</td>
<td>name listed as D.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker, C.H.</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>213</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/26/1872</td>
<td>name listed as George H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pride, J.C.</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/4/1865</td>
<td>name listed as John C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray, Wm. Peter</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/27/1869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reilly, Jno.</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/17/1866</td>
<td>named listed as John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson, D.A.</td>
<td>248A</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/18/1864</td>
<td>name listed as Daniel H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riedel, Bernard</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/29/1871</td>
<td>name listed as Bernhard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson, Henry</td>
<td>223A</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/25/1864</td>
<td>card lists grave 235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose, Christopher</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/30/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roltstad, H.T.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/17/1874</td>
<td>name listed as Roltstead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan, C.H.</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/26/1872</td>
<td>name listed as Charles H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryder, Park</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/1/1871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salter, Wm.</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/20/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Lafayette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauseraich, David</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/10/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Sausebaugh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawyer, Howard, Jr.</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/24/1871</td>
<td>name listed as Leonard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schae, Frederick</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/9/1868</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schnee, Ernest</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/25/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Schuur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2, cont.
Indivduals/Monuments Found in the Cemetery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name as Transcribed in 2007</th>
<th>Stone #</th>
<th>ZAI #</th>
<th>Entry #</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Death Date</th>
<th>Corrections and Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schneider, Fred'k</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/14/1870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shacklett, J.L.</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/23/1872</td>
<td>name listed as John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheehan, Cornelius</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>298, 380</td>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/18/1869</td>
<td>name listed as Meehan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schiff, Nelson</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/18/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibley, R.S.</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/25/1872</td>
<td>name listed as Robert S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skinner, Jno.</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/19/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slavin, Mich'l</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/12/1871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart, Wm.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>227, 309</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/31/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, A.C.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/27/1865</td>
<td>named listed as Albert C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, C.A.</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/5/1865</td>
<td>name listed as George A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, C.I.</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/27/1864</td>
<td>name listed as George T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Geo.</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/12/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, H.D.</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/7/1864</td>
<td>name listed as Hubbard D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, J.D.</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/3/1864</td>
<td>name listed as Joseph D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, S.M.</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/24/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Silas M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Ios.</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>203</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/13/1872</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley, I.A.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/17/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Thomas A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart, David</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/5/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart, Jno.</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/6/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, J.</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/23/1868</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, Jno.</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/24/1869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talbot, Sam'l</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/10/1873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taufer, Jno.</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/27/1870</td>
<td>name listed as John, card lists grave 368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, J.T.</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/11/1870</td>
<td>name listed as John T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telford, James</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/17/1864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson, Jno.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/9/1865</td>
<td>name listed as John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilton, J.B.S.</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/8/1865</td>
<td>name listed as James B.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobin, Wm.</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/4/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torbert, Peter</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/26/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traore, Darius</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/20/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trembley, Peter</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>7/21/1868 card lists grave G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Soldier</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/18/1864</td>
<td>death date cited in Maggioncalda 2004:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaughn, Mich'l</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>138</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/26/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker, Antin</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/21/1870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waggerman, Geo.</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/27/1874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber, Wm.</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/8/1865</td>
<td>name listed as Webber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West, J.A.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/14/1865</td>
<td>name listed as John A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Mich'l</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/26/1869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilder, J</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td>2/18/1869</td>
<td>name listed as Charles I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willard, Rachbrook</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/5/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Chas.</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/11/1895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, Geo.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/5/1865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winterbottom, Jno.</td>
<td>28A</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/13/1883</td>
<td>card lists grave 28A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.
Monuments Reported But Not Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name as Transcribed in 2007</th>
<th>Stone #</th>
<th>Znl #</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>Death Date</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Description Details</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bricker, Henry</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/12/187?</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go C 186th NY Cav</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copiah, James</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>7/24/1865</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go C 12th USCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis, John</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>7/5/1865</td>
<td>Letter, Muger to Sheldon, 3/5/1975; Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go F 5th MD Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driskill, Joseph W.</td>
<td>285</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/31/1875</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go I 19th US Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F., J.</td>
<td>135C</td>
<td>365</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/28/1869</td>
<td>Maggioniola 2004:4-11; Maggioniola 2004:8-9</td>
<td>iron cross</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frost, Sarah</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/26/1856</td>
<td>Trinkley and Hacker 2007:1-6-20</td>
<td>civilian indigent burial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilmore, Thomas</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/30/1871</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>US Soldier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffin, Edward</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/27/1865</td>
<td>Find A Grave; Maggioniola 2004:8-5</td>
<td>US Navy</td>
<td>iron cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H., I.</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>366</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/17/1869</td>
<td>Maggioniola 2004:4-11; Maggioniola 2004:8-9</td>
<td>iron cross</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanson, James</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/30/1875</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>15th US Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartigan, Edward</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/1/1867</td>
<td>Letter, Muger to Sheldon, 3/5/1975; Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go C 3rd DE Inf</td>
<td>number listed as 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landon, Clinton H.</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/26/1875</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go I 10th NY Inf</td>
<td>number is a duplicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcus, Simon</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
<td>5/18/1866</td>
<td>Letter, Muger to Sheldon, 3/5/1975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattingly, Ann M.</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/8/1866</td>
<td>Maggioniola 2004:4-8</td>
<td>civilan indigent burial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morriss, Charles</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>257</td>
<td></td>
<td>8/25/1871</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>US Soldier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. I.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/12/1869</td>
<td>Maggioniola 2004:4-11; Maggioniola 2004:8-9</td>
<td>iron cross</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Hearne, Cornelius</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/17/1873</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go D 3rd MA Cav</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plunkett, Patrick</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/26/1873</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go A 23rd US Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saunders, John</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9/11/1873</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go H 35th NY Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark, George</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10/30/1871</td>
<td>Maggioniola 2004:8-8</td>
<td>Go A 14th USC Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streeter, Stephen</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>326</td>
<td></td>
<td>12/22/1876</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go F 4th NY Inf</td>
<td>art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, John</td>
<td>233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/21/1873</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go D 22nd US Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tommone, John</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/21/1873</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go F 20th Mass Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vansant, William H.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4/30/1874</td>
<td>Card Record of Headstones</td>
<td>Go I 138th PA Inf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W., J.</td>
<td>135R</td>
<td>368</td>
<td></td>
<td>4/7/1865</td>
<td>Maggioniola 2004:4-11; Maggioniola 2004:8-9</td>
<td>iron cross</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W., K.</td>
<td>135A</td>
<td>369</td>
<td></td>
<td>11/18/1869</td>
<td>Maggioniola 2004:4-11; Maggioniola 2004:8-9</td>
<td>iron cross; variant date listed as 1869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

While much money has been spent on the Coast Guard facility, phasing of the project necessitated by limited Congressional funding has resulted in many preservation actions at the cemetery being postponed. It is hoped that this review means additional finances are available and being devoted to the cemetery.

Condition of the Stones

A review of the stones found that 19 of the 219 monuments exhibit noticeable deterioration since 2007. This represents a deterioration of 8.7% of the stones over 9 years, or approximately 1% per year. Damage includes breakage, stones forced out of the ground, and displacement.

Most of this damage can be associated with maintenance practices: failure to deal with hazardous trees and the failure to implement appropriate mowing practices.

In addition, we note that there is a noticeable increase in weathering of the stones. This may be the result of construction activities, environmental changes, or other factors. Regardless, the erosion of the stones is advancing faster than we anticipated.

In spite of the reduction in the tree canopy, we noticed an increase in biological growth on many stones. Given the already tenuous condition of the monuments, cleaning is recommended.

It is essential that GSA fund conservation treatment of the monuments, including a more intensive program of consolidation than was originally thought necessary.

Conservation treatments, however, will be pointless if there is not an accompanying improvement in site maintenance.

Recommendation Progress

A variety of Priority 1, 2, and 3 recommendations were offered in 2007, intended to replace actions within a five-year plan. In nearly double that amount of time we found that only two of the 22 recommendations had been achieved, and one was those was simple to review the progress. In contrast, 11 of the 22 recommendations have received no action. Five can be evaluated as seeing mixed progress.

Thus, while the cemetery may not be out of the collective GSA mind, it seems clear that the site has not received the funding that is desperately needed.

In particular, as indicated in the section on the condition of the stones, considerable deterioration is the result of improper mowing and the failure to maintain healthy trees. While it may be correctly argued that the presence of the Emerald Ash Borer was beyond the control of the GSA, removing dead and dying trees prior to them damaging stones is fully within the capability of the agency.

We have made two additional recommendations, based on our current evaluation of conditions. One is to prevent the use of large deck mowers in the cemetery since we believe that they use of this equipment has resulted in much of the damage. The other recommendation is to expedite the removal of dead and dying trees and replanting.

Review of Burial Lists

This work provided us with the
opportunity to review the lists generated in 2007, taking into consideration a variety of new information. This has resulted in the production of two new lists that we hope will be referenced rather than those produced in 2007.

For those monuments present, we have been able to update the list, providing critical name corrections and adding death dates. We also updated the list with one new stone found in an individual’s possession and another stone fragment that has appeared in the cemetery.

We have also prepared a new list of stones which we can document as having been present at one time, but which are now missing. This list consists of 32 monuments – both stones and iron crosses. If we rely on the missing stone numbers (assuming that all consecutively numbered stones were at one time present), then the cemetery has lost 221 markers.

In 2007 we recommended that the St. Elizabeths records be carefully examined in an effort to determine if additional burials could be documented. This has not been accomplished. In fact, the additional research conducted by the GSA is very superficial and barely mentioned the cemetery, focusing instead on buildings.

It also appears that there has been no effort since 2007 to identify those “friendless” civilians who were also buried at St. Elizabeths.
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